

*I have have been involved with the Internet since a node was installed at the University of Utah in 1969 (Arpanet) and I support keeping Title II classification. The argument that Title II and Net Neutrality enforcement was not around during the rapid growth of the Internet is disingenuous. The technology to rate-limit and do deep-packet inspection on high speed backbone connections is relatively new. Commissioner Pai does not seem to understand that the Internet was inherently neutral not by choice, but by the fact that it wasn't engineered to give preference in the beginning. Due to new technology, and recorded abuses of anti-competitive filtering and rate-limiting, regulation is required to level the playing field. Until there is robust ISP competition, Title II is required. The FCC should not only retain Title II classification of ISPs, they should encourage open municipal fiber networks and require all data providers to use them. Building parallel infrastructure is wasteful and encourages monopolies. Until ALL Americans have access to a choice of 100Mbit+ providers, and not just one or two, regulation is required to keep these monopolies and duopolies in check.*